Croatian Web Dictionary – Mrežnik vs. Croatian Linguistic Terminology – Jena

Lana Hudeček Institute of Croatian Language and Linguistics, Zagreb, Croatia Ihudecek@ihjj.hr

Milica Mihaljević Institute of Croatian Language and Linguistics, Zagreb, Croatia mmihalj@ihjj.hr

Summary

The Croatian Web Dictionary – Mrežnik is a four-year project which started on the 1^{st} of March 2017 and the duration of the project is four years. The main result of the project will be a free, monolingual, hypertext online dictionary consisting of three modules (the module for adult native speakers -10,000 entries, the module for children aged 6 to 10 - 3,000 entries, and the module for non-native speakers learning Croatian -1,000 entries). Mrežnik is based on two Croatian web corpora. Croatian Linguistic Terminology – Jena is a new terminological project conducted within the Struna program. The project started on the 24th of May 2019 and lasts until the 23rd of November 2020. The main result of the project will be a multilingual database consisting of 1,500 entries. As a specialized corpus of Croatian linguistic terminology doesn't exist, it is compiled in parallel with the database. Although Mrežnik and Jena differ in their basic goals and approach; one is monolingual and general and the other is multilingual and specialized (terminological), one is compiled from the existing corpora and the other is compiled in parallel with the corpus, they have two important meeting points: 1. General linguistic terminology is presented in Mrežnik (mostly but not exclusively in the module for adult native speakers) and 2. Within the Mrežnik project, Glossary of Elexicographic terminology is compiled. These four parameters will be compared: 1. wordlist/termlist, 2. relation to the corpus, 3. giving normative information, 4. entry structure. The compilation process and the structure of entries for the same headword will be compared and the important similarities, as well as differences, will be shown. The reason for this comparison is that the two projects are conducted at the same time and strongly influence each other in many aspects.

Key words: Mrežnik, Croatian Linguistic Terminology – Jena, linguisitic terminology, e-lexicography

Introduction

The project *Croatian Web Dictionary* – $Mrežnik^1$ aims at creating a free, monolingual, easily searchable hypertext online dictionary of standard Croatian. It will be the first web-born dictionary of the Croatian language. Entries, sub-entries, and meanings will be interconnected, as well as linked to entries in databases created within the framework of the project in parallel with the creation of the dictionary (language advice database, conjunction database with description of groups of conjunctions and their modifiers, database of explanations of the origin of idioms, database of ethnics and ktetics), as well as databases being created by project collaborators or other Institute members within the framework of other projects.² *Mrežnik* consists of three modules: the module for adult native speakers of Croatian which will have 10,000 entries, the module for school children which will have 3,000 entries, and the module for non-native speakers which will have 1,000 entries). The dictionary is written in the *TLex* program, which has been adapted to the needs of the project. The main goals of the project are: 1. to create the three dictionary modules, 2. to connect the dictionary with the databases created in parallel with the dictionary, 3. to connect the dictionary with other web sources currently being compiled at the Institute of Croatian Language and Linguistics, 4. to compile a reversed dictionary based on the *Mrežnik* wordlist, 5. to write a monograph on *Mrežnik*. The project

¹ More on *Mrežnik* see in Hudeček 2018; Hudeček, Mihaljević 2017a, 2017b; Hudeček, Mihaljević, 2018a, 2018b.

² More on this topic see in Hudeček, Mihaljević 2019a.

started on the 1st of March 2017, so at the moment we are in the second half of the project and more than 5,000 entries have been compiled.

The project *Croatian Linguistic Terminology – Jena* is conducted within the *Struna* program. *Struna* is a database of Croatian Special Field Terminology³ financed by the Croatian Science Foundation. *Jena* is a year-and-a-half project which started on the 24^{th} of May 2019. The main goals of the project are: 1. to compile 1,500 entries with definitions, synonyms, antonyms, hyponyms and equivalents in English, German, French, Russian, and Swedish in the *Struna* database, 2. to collect works on linguistic terminology. At the moment 1035 entries have been entered into the database. However, it is important to note that both *Croatian Web Dictionary – Mrežnik* and *Jena* are conceived as a dynamic dictionary/databases that will be further compiled and edited even after the formal end of the project funding and will not reach their full extent if they do not continue to grow and become an everlasting project of the Institute.

Hypothesis and reason for comparison

The hypothesis of this paper is that although a terminological database obviously differs from a general e-dictionary there are many similarities from which both projects can profit.

The reason for such a comparison is that these two projects are conducted at the same time in the same institution, the head of *Mrežnik* (Lana Hudeček) is the collaborator of *Jena* while the head of *Jena* (Milica Mihaljević) is the collaborator of *Mrežnik*. Thus some results of one project can be applied to the other and vice versa. In the comparison all linguistic terms which appear in *Mrežnik*⁴ and the *Glossary of e-lexicographic terminology* compiled within the *Mrežnik* project are taken into account. As both projects are in progress the instructions for the respective team of lexicographers and terminographers can be modified according to new results. The basic points of comparison are: 1. the ways of compiling wordlist/termlist, 2. the approach to the corpus, 3. the approach to normativity, 4. the structure of dictionary entries.

Wordlist vs. termlist

To compile the *Mrežnik* wordlist the frequency lists of *hrWaC* (first 12,000 words) and the *Hrvatska jezična riznica* (first 10,000 words) were overlapped, all words present only in *Hrvatska jezična riznica* and not present in *hrWaC* were extracted, their frequency was multiplied by four, and they were added to the shared list. This wordlist (first 8,000 entries) was juxtaposed with two separate wordlists: the wordlist for the module for children (which was excerpted from textbooks for the first four grades of elementary school with some additions by the collaborators of *Mrežnik*) and the wordlist for the module for non-native speakers which includes 1,000 words taken from a list in textbooks for non-native speakers, to ensure that words found in both these lists (which partially overlap) appear in the list for adult native speakers. This wordlist was supplemented with male/female (in cooperation with the project *Male and Female in the Croatian Language*) and aspectual pairs, possessive and descriptive adjectives, adverbs derived from adjectives from the list, nouns ending in *ost* derived from adjectives from the list, numerous grammatical and semantic groups, etc. This resulted in a wordlist of 10,000 words with two separate wordlists of 3,000 words (for children) and 1,000 words (for non-native speakers).

The wordlist of the module for children was considered as the basic wordlist and we first began compiling the entries for words from this list in order to make processing for the module for children as compatible as possible with that for adult native speakers (Hudeček, Mihaljević, 2018b).

³ The Institute of Croatian Language and Linguistics was chosen to serve as the national coordinator. The objective of the program in a broader sense is to lay the foundation for the development of national terminology policy, to establish various forms of more structured education in this field, and to intensify long-term cooperation with national and international academic and other institutions dealing with different aspects of terminology work, with the Croatian Standards Institute and with other interested parties. Within the program, a terminology database has been developed to store and terminographically manage standardized and harmonized Croatian terms from various subject fields and their equivalents in English and other languages. Experts from eighteen domains have so far joined the program with the aim of standardizing the terminology of their respective disciplines. http://struna.ihjj.hr/en/about/.

⁴ Of course some linguistic terms have a non-linguistic meaning which occurs in *Mrežnik* and doesn't occur in *Jena* but this was not the subject of our analysis.

INFuture2019: Knowledge in the Digital Age

The *Jena* termlist consisting of 1,500 terms was compiled by project collaborators divided into workgroups by subject fields: basic linguistic terminology, cognitive linguistics, contact linguistics, dialectology, e-lexicography and corpus linguistics, generative linguistics, glottodidactics, language history, lexicography, lexicology, onomastics, orthography, phraseology, pragmatics, sociolinguistics, terminology, translation theory, valency theory. Table 1 shows a small extract from the termlist divided by subject fields.

1.1 Generative linguistics	1.2 Cognitive linguistics	1.3 Phraseology	1.4 Translation theory	1.5 Language history
1.6 E-jezik	1.18 apsolutni	1.29 frazeologija u	1.42 automatsko	1.53 starohrvatski
1.7 generativna	prostorni sustav	užemu smislu	prevođenje	jezik
gramatika	1.19 apstrahiranje	1.30 frazeologija u	1 5	1.54 filološke
1.8 I-jezik	1.20 argumentna	širemu smislu	prevođenje	škole
1.9 jezična moć	struktura	1.31 paremiologija	1.44 književno	1.55 zagrebačka
1.10 jezična	1.21 asimetrija	1.32 krilatologija	prevođenje	filološka škola
sposobnost	izvornoga i ciljnoga	1.33 zoonimna	1.45 komunikacijski	1.56 zadarska
1.11 jezična	okvira	frazeologija	model prevođenja	filološka škola
uporaba	1.22 automatsko	1.34 somatska	1.46 ljudsko	1.57 riječka
1.12 logički	prepoznavanje	frazeologija	prevođenje	filološka škola
problem jezičnoga	metafora	1.35 internacionalna	1.47 pismeno	1.58 škola
usvajanja	1.23 autonomistički	frazeologija	prevođenje	hrvatskih vukovaca
1.13 negativni	gramatički pristup	1.36 nacionalna	1.48 simultano	1.59 štokavski
dokazi	1.24 konceptualne	frazeologija	prevođenje	hrvatski književni
1.14 objasnidbena	integracije	1.37 posuđena	1.49 slobodno	jezik
prikladnost	1.25 ciljna domena	frazeologija	prevođenje	1.60 čakavski
1.15 opisna	1.26 dinamični	1.38 arhaična	1.50 strojno	hrvatski književni
prikladnost	razvojni model	frazeologija	prevođenje računalno	jezik
1.16 oskudnost	1.27 dinamika sile	1.39 dijalektna	prevođenje	1.61 kajkavski
poticaja	1.28 diskursna	frazeologija	1.51 traduktologija	hrvatski književni
1.17 pozitivni	analiza vođena	1.40 regionalna	1.52 univerzalni	jezik
dokazi	metaforom	frazeologija	prevodilac	1.62 ozaljski
		1.41 frazeološki	-	književno-jezični
		obrat		krug

These terms will not appear as headwords of entries or subentries in *Mrežnik*. However, terms belonging to basic linguistic terminology and orthography will appear in *Mrežnik* as well as in *Jena*. Some terms belonging to basic linguistic terminology are shown in the text below. Figure 1 shows an extraction of the wordlist in *Jena*.

infinitiv	jezikoslovlje	filologija	opće jezikoslovlje (lingvistika)
imperfekt	jezikoslovlje	filologija	opće jezikoslovlje (lingvistika)
imperativ	jezikoslovlje	filologija	opće jezikoslovlje (lingvistika)
imenica	jezikoslovlje	filologija	opće jezikoslovlje (lingvistika)

Figure 1. General linguistic terminology from Jena

These linguistic terms will also be entries in Mrežnik.

Corpus-based

Both *Mrežnik* and *Jena* are corpus-based, and not corpus-driven. This means that the corpus and all data extracted from it serve only as guidelines. The *Glossary of E-lexicographic Terminology* on the *Mrežnik* website ihjj.hr/mreznik defines a corpus-based dictionary as follows: a dictionary for which the lexicographer uses a corpus, but can freely decide what should be included in the dictionary,

allowing the dictionary to be supplemented with words from other sources if necessary, as well as collocations and meanings not attested in the corpus. The reason for this approach is that neither of the corpora on which *Mrežnik* is based (*Croatian Web Repository* online corpus (riznica.ihjj.hr/index.hr.html) and *Croatian web corpus – hrWaC* (nlp.ffzg.hr/resources/corpora/hrwac/) are representative of the Croatian language (hrWaC is primarily based on the colloquial and journalist style and *Croatian Web Repository* on the literary style), they are not corpora of the standard language nor are they balanced corpora. It follows that, in composing an entry, lexicographers can add meanings to a particular entry or to the collocation field even if they do not appear in the corpus.

Data extraction from the corpora for *Mrežnik* as well as for *Jena* is performed with the SketchEngine web tool, which allows the display of lexeme context through WordSketches, the most common collocations sorted into syntactic categories and the discovery of good examples of word usage or collocations. After lexicographic processing of *Mrežnik* is completed, the data will be exported from TLex to the web application and the CLARIN European science infrastructure repository (clarin.si repository and the github.com public data management system). This will make *Mrežnik* available for use both via a web application and for machine implementation by downloading data from the CLARIN repository.

Jena is based on the corpus Jezikoslovlje composed specially for the needs of the project. It consists of a corpus of linguistic papers and monographs compiled under SketchEngine. The Jena corpus which has been compiled by project members and collaborators is the corpus of standard language (in the field of linguistics) but it is as yet not representative enough. Moreover, on many modern linguistics topics there are not many texts in Croatian and many Croatian terms have to be coined by the authors (specialists of the particular linguistic field) themselves. From this corpus a term list has been compiled which contrasted the words appearing in the corpus with the words from hrWaC. The basic term list is still the one created by project collaborators but it will be checked against the one created by Sketch Engine from the corpus so Jena will also be corpus-based. The Jena corpus is also helpful when creating definitions and deciding on the normative status of synonymous words.

Normativity

The Croatian Web Dictionary – Mrežnik is a normative dictionary and Jena is a normative terminological database. The normative nature of Mrežnik is apparent in the following: 1. the selection of entry-words, 2. giving normative advice in all three modules, 3. the selection of forms acceptable by the standard language norm in the grammatical block, 4. the selection of examples (the dictionary collaborators try to select examples with no language errors while examples with language errors are edited), 5. the accentuation of entry-words and forms in the grammatical block according to the standard language norm.

The most important normative aspect of *Jena* is differentiating between the preferred, allowed, nonpreferred, obsolete, and jargon terms (as will be shown in the examples below). If needed normative advice is given in the field note, e.g. why the preferred term is *točka sa zarezom* and not *točka-zarez* as shown in table 2.

Word entries vs. term entries

Two important meeting points of *Mrežnik* and *Jena* are 1. General linguistic and orthographic terminology is presented in *Mrežnik* and 2. Within the *Mrežnik* project, a *Glossary of E-lexicographic Terminology* is compiled (ihjj.hr/mreznik/page/pojmovnik/6/).

General orthographic terminology in Mrežnik and Jena

Table 2 illustrates the structure of the entries *točka* (period) and *točka sa zarezom* (semi-colon) in *Jena* and compares them to the respective entry or subentry in *Mrežnik*:

Table 2. Entries točka (period) and točka	a sa zarezom (semicolon) in Jena and Mrežnik
1.63 Jena	^{1.64} Mrežnik
1.65 točka	1.73 točka
1.66 unesen: 01.08.2019, 20:57	1.74 <i>pravop</i> . Točka je pravopisni znak (.) koji stoji na kraju rečenice
faza obradbe: urednik pregledao	te iza kratica i rednih brojeva.
status naziva: preporučeni naziv	1.75 - Definicija mora počinjati malim slovom i nema točku na
definicija: pravopisni znak koji stoji na kraju	kraju.
rečenice te iza kratica i rednih brojeva	1.76 - Argument koji govori u prilog tomu da se parataktička
vrelo definicije: Jozić, Željko i dr. 2013.	rečenica ne razlikuje samo formalno od dviju rečenica, tj. da se ne
Hrvatski pravopis. Institut za hrvatski jezik i	može promatrati kao dvije rečenice koje su odijeljene točkom, odnosno
jezikoslovlje. Zagreb.	koje se od dvorečeničnog ustrojstva razlikuju samo formalno.
područje: jezikoslovlje	1.77 Koordinacija : točka i crtica, točka i uskličnik, točka i zarez
potpodručje: pravopis	1.78 Poveznica <i>Hrvatski</i> pravopis:
jezična odrednica: imenica	http://pravopis.hr/pravilo/tocka/55/
rod: ženski	
broj: jednina	
1.67 istovrijednica - engleski: period; full	
stop	
1.68 njemački : Punkt	
1.69 francuski : point	
1.70 ruski : то́чка	
1.71 švedski: punkt	
1.72 simbol: .	
poveznica:	
http://pravopis.hr/pravilo/tocka/55/	
	<i>točka</i> as a headword of the entry. <i>Jena</i> has only one meaning of <i>točka</i> , which is the meaning in the orthographic sense. <i>Mrežnik</i> also has many
subentries of točka one of which is točka sa za	arezom (semicolon). They have similar definitions, but while Jena gives
	ples and collocations (coordination). These examples are taken from the
Jena corpus as it was difficult to find adequate	examples from two corpora on which <i>Mrežnik</i> is primarily based. Both
are connected to the same paragraph from Cr	voatian Orthography Manual. In Jena equivalents in English, German,
French, Russian, and Swedish are given. While	in Mrežnik the sign (.) is a part of the definition given in brackets due to
the very strict structure of the terminological	database (brackets cannot be included in the definition) in Jena it is
included in a special symbol field.	
1.80 točka sa zarezom	1.90 točka sa zarezom pravop.
1.81 unesen: 01.08.2019, 20:58	1.91 Točka sa zarezom pravopisni je znak (;) koji se piše pri jačemu
faza obradbe: urednik pregledao	odvajanju od onoga koje označuje zarez, a slabijemu od onoga koje
status naziva: preporučeni naziv	označuje točka
definicija: pravopisni znak koji se piše pri	1.92 - Definicije su u kurzivu i međusobno su odvojene zarezom, a
jačemu odvajanju od onoga koje označuje	sinonim koji nije u kurzivu odvojen je točkom sa zarezom.
zarez, a slabijemu od onoga koje označuje	1.93 - Veoma je često u engleskome tekstu uz veliko slovo u
točka	okomitome nabrajanju i točka sa zarezom.
vrelo definicije: Jozić, Željko i dr. 2013.	1.94 •normativna napomena: U hrvatskome pravopisnom nazivlju
Hrvatski pravopis. Institut za hrvatski jezik i	u istome se značenju upotrebljavaju nazivi točka-zarez i točka sa
jezikoslovlje. Zagreb.	zarezom. Budući da u nazivlju istoznačenice nisu poželjne, a

u istome se značenju upotrebljavaju nazivi *točka-zarez* i *točka sa zarezom*. Budući da u nazivlju istoznačenice nisu poželjne, a polusloženice se ne uklapaju u strukturu hrvatskoga jezika te ih je, kad je to moguće, bolje zamijeniti istoznačnim nazivom drukčije strukture, prednost se daje nazivu *točka sa zarezom*.

istovrijednica - engleski: semicolon 1.95 Mrtvi sinonim: točka-zarez

1.83 njemački: Semikolon

jezična odrednica: višerječni naziv

- 1.84 francuski: point-virgule
- 1.85 **ruski**: то́чка с запято́й
- 1.86 švedski: semikolon

područje: jezikoslovlje

potpodručje: pravopis

1.87 **simbol**: ;

1.82

1.88 **napomena:** U hrvatskome pravopisnom nazivlju u istome se značenju upotrebljavaju nazivi *točka-zarez i točka sa zarezom.* Budući da u nazivlju istoznačenice nisu poželjne, a polusloženice se ne uklapaju u strukturu hrvatskoga jezika te ih je, kad je

to moguće, bolje zamijeniti istoznačnim nazivom drukčije strukture, prednost se daje nazivu *točka sa zarezom.*

1.89 **nepreporučeni naziv:** točka-zarez **poveznica:** http://pravopis.hr/pravilo/tockasa-zarezom/62/ 1.96 **Poveznica**: *Hrvatski* http://pravopis.hr/pravilo/tocka-sa-zarezom/62/ 1.97

pravopis:

1.98 **Comparison:** In Jena točka sa zarezom (semicolon) is an entry while in Mrežnik it is a subentry of the entry točka. The reason for this is that a multiword term has the same terminological status as a single word term. They have similar definitions but only Jena states that the source of the definition is the Croatian Orthographic Manual. Mrežnik gives examples from the corpus while Jena has no examples. Mrežnik gives another synonymous term točka-zarez as a "dead synonym" which means it is not an entry in Mrežnik. In Jena there are no synonymous entries and točka-zarez is given as a non-preferred term. Both sources give the same explanation why točka sa zarezom is preferred to točka-zarez but this explanation occurs in the note field in Jena and in the field normative advice in Mrežnik. However, both are connected to the paragraph on semicolon from the Croatian Orthographic Manual. Both Mrežnik and Jena state that this term belongs to the field of orthography. In Jena equivalents in English, German, French, Russian, and Swedish are given. Examples in Mrežnik are taken from the Jena korpus, as it was difficult to find an adequate example in the two corpora on which Mrežnik is primarily based.

Similar results could be shown when comparing some other entries of general linguistic terms, e.g. *imenica* (noun), *padež* (case), *sklonidba* (declension), *sintaksa* (syntax).

Glossary of e-lexicography and Jena

Glossary of E-lexicography compiled within the *Mrežnik* project and in collaboration with the *Jena* project consists of names and terms relevant for e-lexicography. This *Glossary* is an important source for *Jena* as from it most of the terms (not names) are taken over into the *Jena* database. In table 3 the comparisons of the entries *odostražni rječnik* (reversed dictionary) and *n-gram* is shown in *Jena* and the *Glossary of e-lexicography*.

1.99 Jena	1.100 Glossary	<i>y</i>			
1.101 odostražni rječnik	1.109 odostra	žni rječnik (er	ngl. reverse dictionar	y) rječnik u kojem	iu su
1.102 unesen: 04.08.2019, 18:12	riječi abecedir	ane od kraj	a riječi Rückläufi	ges Wörterbuch	des
faza obradbe: urednik uređuje	Serbokroatische	n (1965	 1967.) mrežno 	je dostupan	na
status naziva: preporučeni naziv	https://www.uib	k.ac.at/slawistil	k/institut/matesic.htm	l. Demoina	ačica
definicija: rječnik u kojemu su riječi					adnje
abecedirane od kraja riječi	(https://borna12.	gitlab.io/odostr	aznji-mz/, izradio Jos	sip Mihaljević):	
vrelo definicije: Pojmovnik, Mrežnik.	1.110				
http://ihjj.hr/mreznik/page/pojmovnik/6/.			Odostražni rječnik -	₫/♀	
područje: jezikoslovlje					
potpodručje: e-leksikografija i			ica	pronađi	
korpusno jezikoslovlje			Pronađen broj riječi: 369.		
dopušteni naziv: odostražnik					
jezična odrednica: višerječni naziv		promotor <u>ica</u>	kopač <u>ica</u>	glazben <u>ica</u>	
istovrijednica - engleski: reverse		štavitel <u>jica</u> poučavateljica	redatel <u>jica</u> dirigent <u>ica</u>	procjenitelj <u>ica</u> spiker <u>ica</u>	
dictionary		svilarica	ispitivačica	krojiteljica	
1.103 njemački: rüchläufiges		kovinotokarica	čuvarica	klaunica	
Wörterbuch		smetlar <u>ica</u>	pjeskar <u>ica</u>	asistent <u>ica</u>	
1.104 francuski : <u>dictionnaire</u> inverse		skupn <u>ica</u>	dekan <u>ica</u>	kožar <u>ica</u>	
1.105 ruski : Обратный словарь	1.111	agent <u>ica</u>	šefica	travar <u>ica</u>	
1.106 švedski: baklängesordbok;	1 112 adactua	Yn:l adaatua	1.112		
finalalfabetisk ordbok	1.113 odostraž	žnik v. odostraž	Zhi rječnik		
1.107 poveznica:					
https://borna12.gitlab.io/odostraznji/					
1.108 napomena: Nazivu odostražni					
rječnik daje se prednost pred nazivom					
odostražnik zbog sustavnoga odnosa s					
nazivljem ostalih vrsta rječnika (opći					
rječnik, posebni rječnik, abecedni					
rječnik, normativni rječnik, deskriptivni					
rječnik, terminološki rječnik itd.)					
1.114 Comparison: <i>Jena</i> has only a def					
reasons for selecting odostražni rječnik a	s the preferred te	rm are explain	ed. In Jena equivaler	nts in English, Ger	man,
French, and Russian are given.					
1.115 n-gram			ređene duljine koju		
1.116 unesen: 04.08.2019, 16:41	riječi koje se pojavljuju unutar teksta; pri radu s korpusima n-grami se odnose na sekvencije riječi; unigram je jedna riječ, bigram je sekvencija od				
faza obradbe: urednik pregledao				bigram je sekvencij	ja od
status naziva: preporučeni naziv	dvije riječi, trigr	am je sekvencij	a od tri riječi itd.		
definicija: sekvencija određene duljine					
koju sačinjavaju znakovi ili riječi koje se	1				

Table 3. Entries *odostražni rječnik* (reverse dictionary) and *n-gram* (n-gram) in *Jena* and *Glossary of e-lexicography*

pojavljuju unutar teksta korpusa vrelo definicije: Pojmovnik, Mrežnik. http://ihjj.hr/mreznik/page/pojmovnik/6/. područje: jezikoslovlje potpodručje: e-leksikografija i korpusno jezikoslovlje podređeni pojam: bigram; trigram; unigram jezična odrednica: imenica rod: muški broj: jednina istovrijednica - engleski: n-gram istovrijednica - njemački: N-Gramme istovrijednica - ruski: N-rpaMma 1.117 švedski: n.gram		this, is, a, sentence this is, is a, a sentence this is a, is a sentence		
1.120 Comparison: In <i>Jena unigram, bigram,</i> and <i>trigram</i> are added as subordinate terms and they have a separate				
definition. In the <i>Glossary</i> they are explained under <i>n</i> -gram but also have separate definitions in the glossary. The <i>Glossary</i> is added as a source in <i>Jena</i> . In <i>Jena</i> equivalents in English, German, French, Russian, and Swedish are given.				
Glossary is added as a source in Jena. In Jena ed	quivalents in English, German, French, Russia	an, and Swedish are given.		

Jena vs. Mrežnik: entry structure

From the general structure of Struna these fields have been activated for Jena (Table 4).

1.121 Field	1.122 Explanation		
1.123 entry word	1.124 can be a multiword entry		
1.125 grammatical data	1.126 only word class and gender and number for nouns		
1.127 definition	1.128 with genus proximum and differentia specifica, not a whole sentence, starts		
	with the small letter and does not end with a period; one term can have only one		
	definition.		
1.129 field, discipline	1.130 subfields of linguistics, e.g. generative linguistics, cognitive linguistics,		
	pragmatics, etc.		
1.131 synonyms	1.132 divided into preferred terms, allowed terms, depicted terms, obsolete terms,		
	and jargon terms		
1.133 antonyms (added for the	1.134 defined in <i>Jena</i> by a similar definition		
purpose of this project)			
1.135 subordinate terms	1.136 defined in Jena		
1.137 source of the definition	1.138 if the definition was taken over from a source and not formed by the		
	compiler the source should be stated		
1.139 equivalents in English,	1.140 written (or checked) by experts for each of the languages		
Russian, French, German			
1.141 abbreviation or acronym	1.142 given if any		
1.143 connected to other	1.144 the entries are often connected to the Croatian Orthographic Manual or		
sources	Croatian School Grammar, sometimes they are connected to other sources, e.g.		
	articles in the journal Hrvatski jezik		
1.145 note	1.146 in the note relevant additional information is given		
1.147 phase in the compilation	1.148 different phases are: written by the author, checked by the editor, checked by		
process are recorded	the terminologist, checked by the language editor, finished		

Table 4. Fields in Jena

The diagram of the structure of *Mrežnik* is described in detail in Hudeček, Mihaljević, 2019a. In table 5 the main differences between the structure of *Jena* and *Mrežnik* are shown:

1.149 Jena	1.150 Mrežnik
1.151 headword – can be	1.152 no multiword headwords, headwords and forms are accentuated
multiword, not accentuated	
1.153 grammatical data, word	1.154 gives much more grammatical data as well as accentuated forms
class or multiword - for nouns	
gender and number	
1.155 definition – one headword	1.156 a headword can have multiple senses and definitions. very often the same
has only one definition, if needed	entry has many meanings and only one belongs to the field of linguistics (e.g.
the same headword has multiple	crtica, točka, atribut) and sometimes the same word has more than one meaning in
entries	the field of linguistics (e.g. pravopis, rječnik, fonologija)

 Table 5. Differences between the structure of Jena and Mrežnik

1.157 field, discipline, has a list	1.158 differentiates between linguistics, grammar and orthography, doesn't
of disciplines and sub-disciplines	differentiate between sub-disciplines
1.159 synonyms – differentiates	1.160 gives synonyms, differentiates between synonyms that are dictionary
between the preferred term,	entries and that are not (synonyms and dead synonims), doesn't differentiate
allowed term, non-preferred term,	between the status of synonyms
obsolete term, and jargon term	
1.161 antonyms – all given	1.162 differentiates between antonyms which are dictionary entries and which
antonyms are dictionary entries	are not (dead antonyms)
1.163 subordinate terms – a very	1.164 sometimes gives subordinate terms
important field for building the	
terminological system	
1.165 source of the definition	1.166 doesn't give data on the source of definition
1.167 equivalents in English,	1.168 doesn't have equivalents in foreign languages
Russian, French, German, and	
Swedish	
1.169 abbreviation or acronym	1.170 abbreviations and acronyms are given as synonyms and not in a separate
given in a separate field	field
1.171 symbols are given in a	1.172 symbols, if needed, are included in the definition
separate field	
1.173 connected to other sources	1.174 connected to a number of sources ⁶
- mostly connected to Croatian	
School Grammar, articles from	
the journal Hrvatski jezik and	
Croatian Orthography Manual ⁵	
1.175 additional information	1.176 differentiates between the pragmatic note and the normative note
given in the note	(language advice)
1.177 records the phase in the	1.178 doesn't state explicitly the phase in the compilation process
compilation process	
1.179 context	1.180 examples and collocations

An important difference between *Mrežnik* and *Jena* is the approach to collocations. In *Mrežnik* they have a separate field where they are introduces by questions, e.g. *What is xxx like?*, *What does xx do?*, *What can we do with xxx?*, *Coordination, What is mentioned in connection with xxx?* In *Jena* there is no special collocation field and they can be either introduced as subordinate terms which than have separate entries, explained in the note or ignored.

Results of the comparison

The results of the comparison prove the hypothesis that two such projects as *Jena* and *Mrežnik* can be compared and that they can mutually profit from each other and such a comparison. The results of the comparison are shown in table 6.

Points of comparison	Mrežnik	Jena	Benefits
wordlist/termlist	terms extracted from the two corpora and supplemented by the lexicographers	termlist compiled by field specialists and supplemented by corpus data	<i>Mrežnik</i> wordlist was checked against the <i>Jena</i> termlist and all terms belonging to general vocabulary are included into <i>Mrežnik Jena</i> termlist was supplemented by the terms from the <i>Glossary of e-lexicography</i>
corpus	corpus-based	corpus-based (but the role of the corpus is not as important as in <i>Mrežnik</i>)	for linguistic terms in <i>Mrežnik</i> examples can be taken form the <i>Jena</i> corpus
normativity	normative dictionary, normativity expressed in the normative advice note	differentiates between preferred, allowed, non- preferred, obsolete, and jargon terms	normative advice given in <i>Mrežnik</i> and in <i>Jena</i> is always the same (it can be explained differently), i.e. once the normative status of a term is determined within one project the same normative status is given to the term in the other

Table 6. Comparison of *Jena* and *Mrežnik*

⁵ See in Hudeček, Mihaljević 2017c; Jozić et al., 2013.

⁶ See Hudeček and Mihaljević in print.

INFuture2019: Knowledge in the Digital Age

			project
the structure of dictionary entries	dictionary entries is determined by the project head in collaboration with	5 1 5	5
	project members		

Conclusion

The aim of this paper is to show how two projects conducted at the same time in the same institution can influence each other and how experience with one project as well as the corpus and data from one project can help the other project. As we started working on this paper at the very beginning of *Jena*, this gave us the perfect opportunity to test the hypotheses that the work on a terminological project can help the work on a general e-lexicographic project as well as benefit from it. Despite many differences, *Mrežnik* and *Jena* are closely connected as the *Glossary of E-lexicography* serves as one of the sources for *Jena* and as some definitions of general linguistic and orthographic terms from *Mrežnik* can serve as a starting point for composing the entry of the same headword in *Jena* (as shown above). We also found the corpus compiled for *Jena* very useful for collocations and examples in *Mrežnik* as with some frequently used words which have many meanings in the general language (as for example *točka* shown above as well as *čestica*, *prilog*, *prijedlog*) it was difficult to find the adequate example in the general corpus.

At the end of Jena, entries from *Mrežnik* will be connected with external links to entries in *Jena* as is *Mrežnik* already connected to some other finished *Struna* projects. Some other projects conducted at the Institute are connected to one or both of the analysed projects, e.g. the project *Orthographic Manual of Religious Terminology* is connected with *Mrežnik* as religious terms also form an important part of *Mrežnik*. The project *Male and Female in the Croatian Language* is strongly connected to both of the analysed projects as in *Mrežnik* each noun denoting a male person is connected to the noun denoting a female person⁷ and in *Jena* special attention is paid to professional nouns in the field of linguistics (male and female).

Acknowledgments

This paper is written within the research project *Croatian Web Dictionary – MREŽNIK* (IP-2016-06-2141) and the project *Croatian Linguistic Terminology – Jena* (Struna-2017-09-05), both financed by the Croatian Science Foundation.

References

- Hudeček, L. (2018). Izazovi leksikografske obrade u jednojezičnome mrežnom rječniku (na primjeru Hrvatskoga mrežnog rječnika Mrežnika). // Visnyk of Lviv University: Series Philology 69, 29-38
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2017a). A New Project Croatian Web Dictionary MREŽNIK. // The Future of Information Sciences. INFuture2017, Integrating ICT in Society / Atanassova, I. et al. (eds.). Zagreb: Department of Information and Communication Sciences, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, 205-213
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2017b). Hrvatski mrežni rječnik Mrežnik. // Hrvatski jezik 4, 4, 1-7
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2017c). Školska gramatika hrvatskoga jezika. Zagreb: Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2018a). Croatian Web Dictionary Mrežnik: One year later What is different? // Proceedings of the Conference on Language Technologies & Digital Humanities / Fišer, D., Pančur, A. (eds.). Ljubljana: Oddelek za prevajalstvo, Inštitut za novejšo zgodovino, 106-113
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2018b). Hrvatski mrežni rječnik Mrežnik: Upute za obrađivače. http://ihjj.hr/mreznik/uploads/upute.pdf (27.10.2019)
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2019a). Croatian Web Dictionary Mrežnik Linking with Other Language Resources. // Electronic lexicography in the 21st century – Proceedings of the eLex 2019 conference / Kosem, I. et al. (eds.). Leiden: Lexical Computing CZ s.r.o, 72-98
- Hudeček, L., Mihaljević, M. (2019b). Profesijski nazivi u hrvatskoj e-terminografiji i e-leksikografiji. // Studia lexicographica 13, 24, 75-95
- Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje. Glossary of E-lexicographic Terminology. 14.10.2019.

http://ihjj.hr/mreznik/page/pojmovnik/6/ (30.08.2019)

Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje. (2019). Hrvatsko jezikoslovno nazivlje – Jena. 23.10.2019. http://ihiji.hr/jena/ (30.08.2019)

⁷ More on the topic see in Hudeček, Mihaljević, 2019b.

L. Hudeček, M. Mihaljević. Croatian Web Dictionary...

- Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje. (2010). Hrvatsko strukovno nazivlje Struna. 16.09.2010. http://struna.ihjj.hr/ (30.08.2019)
- Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje (2017). Muško i žensko u hrvatskome jeziku. 1.11.2017. http://ihjj.hr/projekt/muskoi-zensko-u-hrvatskome-jeziku/72/ (20.08.2019)
- Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje. Religijski pravopis. 5.11.2015. http://ihjj.hr/projekt/religijski-pravopis/23/ (20.08.2019)

Jozić, Ž. et al. (2013). Hrvatski pravopis. Zagreb: Institut za hrvatski jezik i jezikoslovlje